Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/722,811	HENSLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Pak	1616	

	John Pak	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply original contents.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying tl	
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 	6 and 41.33(a)). 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor ———.	mpliant Amendment (l	,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11,14,16-19,22,41,43 and 45-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will	•	_
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
Attachment: Interview Summary Record	/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1616	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed claims result in very confusing claim language as to ingredient amounts. The carrier is about 75-99.8 wt% of the composition, but it is supposed to contain about 75-99 wt% water (based on the total composition, see Final Rejection of 4/14/09, page 2). This means the carrier could read on virtually all water. Additional inclusion of glycerin as a carrier component makes it more confusing. Also, claim 14 (proposed to be canceled) recited the thickening agent as a component of the carrier the instant claims do not do so.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claim amendment could not be granted entry and the terminal disclaimer was not signed by an attorney who has been given written power of attorney.